

REMARKS

In reviewing the Application, a discrepancy was noted between Figures 2 and 3 and the results from the examples as detailed in Tables 1, 2 and 3. In Figures 2 and 3, as originally filed, 16 samples were identified and presented in Figures 2 and 3. In contrast, Tables 1, 2 and 3, as contained on pages 208, 209 and 210 of the Application, contain only 15 sample numbers. In preparing the U.S. Application based on the Japanese Priority Document, Sample # 8 had been deleted from the written text, however, Sample #8 was not deleted from Figure 2 and, thus, the numbering on Figures 2 and 3 did not take into account the fact that Sample #8 had been deleted from the written text. The replacement sheets, as attached hereto, delete Sample #8 and renumber the Samples in accordance with the data presented in Tables 1-3. Specifically, it can be seen that Sample #'s 1-11 are plotted on Figure 2 while Sample #'s 12-15 are plotted on Figure 3. Thus, replacement sheets 2 and 3 accurately reflect the data points reported in Tables 1-3. Respectfully, no new matter has been added by way of these replacement sheets but, rather, the replacement sheets are presented to accurately reflect the data as reported in Tables 1-3.


It is respectfully requested that replacement sheets 2 and 3 be entered and this Application continue with its prosecution.

Should any extensions of time or fees be necessary in order to maintain this Application in pending condition, appropriate requests are hereby made and authorization is given to debit Account # 02-2275.

Respectfully submitted,

MUSERLIAN, LUCAS AND MERCANTI, LLP

By:



Donald C. Lucas
(Attorney for Applicant(s))
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
Tel. # (212) 661-8000

DCL/mr

Encl: One replacement sheet of Fig. 2
One replacement sheet of Fig. 3
Return receipt post-card